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Introduction
The present study investigates the phenomenon of «polysemy» a single lexical form with two or multiple related senses. While polysemy is largely unproblematic from the perspective of communication, it poses a range of theoretical and descriptive problems. Basically, every word has one first meaning and other secondary meanings which are, in a way or another, related together on the one hand and to the first meaning on the other. Students have to understand the meaning of words in their context to produce a coherent target text, because although there are many students have a good mastery of English vocabulary, grammar….etc but they find difficulties in translating polysemous words.

Statement of Purpose
The major aim of this study is to shed light on polysemy as a difficult task when it comes to English Arabic translation. The students should understand the meaning of words in source text clearly so that they are translated successfully. We have mainly chosen second year English students the ones dealing with translation at the Department of English language at Kasdi Merbah University of Ouargla. The students need to understand the meaning of words in their context to produce a coherent target text, in other words; sometimes students fail in rendering the message from Arabic into English that is because they do not get the right meaning of the words or in fact the key words and the difficulties of some words in English when they take other contexts.

Statement of the Problem
This research aims at checking the difficulties of English words, in general, and polysemous words, in particular, which prevent students during the process of translation. It also attempts to find out what might help them in translating English polysemous words successfully.

Research Questions
The main questions to be asked in this dissertation are the following:
Why do 2nd year LMD students of English at University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla face difficulties in translating English polysemous words into Arabic?
Does the context of the sentence help students in translating polysemous words successfully?
Hypothesis

This research may confirm or may cancel the following hypothesis:

If 2nd year LMD students of English at University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla put the different contexts of the polysemous words into consideration, they will translate them successfully.

Tools of Research

In the present study, we designed a questionnaire for gaining insights, from the subjects, about their points of view towards translation and their ways used during translating their handouts. The test contains six samples, each sample contains three examples to be translated and then to be analysed.

Structure of the Dissertation

The present dissertation will be divided into two main sections: theoretical part and practical part. The first part deals with an overview about polysemy and the problem of definition, Semantics within a context as well as an overview about some problems of translation, then in the end the context. The second part is devoted to the empirical study to testing the hypothesis, it deals with the collection and analysis of data, besides; discussion of the results obtained, the findings and the recommendtions we shall present at the end.
Chapter One
Translation and Polysemy

Introduction

The main questions to be asked for the study of lexical semantics is whether, and to which degree, the paths of sense developments are predictable, given a certain lexical source. Translation theorists agree that translation is understood as a transfer process from a foreign language—or a second language—to the mother tongue. This transfer included some particular problems in the translation process such as the problems of ambiguity, problems that originate from structural and lexical differences between languages, in the sense that it is not clear how they should be represented, or what rules should be used to describe them. Such lexical features were a great motive for this study to investigate and decide on the degree of their difficulty so as to be able to identify the sources of difficulty involved in them and suggest some solutions for them.

The first chapter deals with translation and polysemy begins with casting light on translation, its definition, its types, and mainly semantic and communicative translation. Then we shall move to Semantics and its definition. The main focus in this chapter will be on polysemy and some traditional views of it. The chapter deals also with some scholars’ points of view concerning the ambiguity and vagueness. Finally the chapter ends up with polysemy and lexical pragmatics.

I.1. Lexical Translation Problems

The definition adopted in this study could be that a lexical translation problem is whatever presents obstacles in transferring the content of one piece of language into another whether the latter are an element (word), or a string of elements (clause or a phrase). Of course, the degree of obstacle varies in intensity, leading one to the assumption that translation problems fall under different ranks in terms of challenge.

As a lexical problem, Ghazala (1995: 91) claimed: “the main problem for students is that in most cases they understand all synonymous words as absolute synonyms only”. Although students are aware that in almost all languages there’s no total sameness between words, they face problems when translating these words. Baker (1992: 20) argued that translation problems at word level arise for translators because there is no equivalence at word level between different languages. But what is important in Baker’s discussion is lexical
meaning. Baker said, “Non-equivalence at word level means that the target language has no direct equivalent for a word which occurs in the source text.”

I.1.1. Lexical Structure in Semantics

There are various lexical problems in translation expected to be encountered students. This study will be deal with the lexical problems of using polysemy. Within the field of lexical semantics, polysemy is of main concern.

I.1.2. What is Polysemy?

I.1.2.1. The Concept of Polysemy in English

“Polysemy” or “multiplicity” of meaning is considered a common feature of English and Arabic, since it exists in both languages. The existence of this linguistic phenomenon creates lexical problems in many cases, especially when they are dealt with as monosemous words or even when the translator is indifferent to the linguistic context and the relations that hold among the constituents of a linguistic stretch.

Many definitions have been given to the concept of polysemy. Ullman (1967: 159) defines polysemy as a “situation” in which the same word has two or more different meanings. He adds that polysemy is a fundamental feature of human speech which can arise in a multiplicity of ways. Nida (1969: 63), on the other hand, does not consider polysemy to be a crucial problem for the translator, since the different meanings of a single word are rarely in competition, for they do not only have relatively well defined markers which help to differentiate the meanings, but so often they are so diverse as not to compete with one another for the same semantic domain. Kharma & Hajjaj (1989: 64) believe that polysemy is closely connected to homonymy and it occurs when a word has more than one meaning. Ghazala (1995: 98) regards polysemy as one of the major distinguishing characteristics of both English and Arabic, and it may be English more than Arabic. As for Finch (2000: 173), polysemy is a sense relation in which a lexeme has acquired more than one meaning. He adds that a word which is capable of more than one meaning is polysemic. From this, one can define polysemy as a case in which a single word has multiple meanings; each of these meanings has to be learnt separately in order to be understood. The following example illustrates our notion: the term flight, for example, can mean all of the following: (1) the power of flying; (2) an air journey; (3) a series of steps; (4) a digression; (5) a unit of air force, (Finch: 2000: 173).

I.1.2.2. The Concept of Polysemy in Arabic

Arab linguists, on the other hand, referred to the concept of polysemy as “istirak lafzi”. For Al-Jürjani (1954: 365), polysemous words tend to be unrelated and no clear relation
among them can be realized. As-Suyūṭi (1971: 384) argues that polysemy would enrich the language and make it more capable of representing the physical world around us. But he denies that “istirak” is based on the idea that one word has different meanings. On the contrary, he argues that all kinds of “istirak” of one single expression had one general meaning. So, many meanings will be attached to the original meaning of a particular word and they will develop in the course of time of that expression (ibid). In contrast, Ibn Darstwini (1974: 538) denies the existence of polysemous words in Arabic and he affirms that if the polysemous words exist, this would be due to two reasons: first, if they occur between two different languages and the second reason is the omission or the economy of speech.

According to Al-Munjid (1999: 15) polysemy is one of the most common linguistic phenomena in all languages. He defines polysemy as multiplicity of meaning; a case in which one word has different meanings.

Polysemy carries different meanings across English and Arabic language. This is apparent through the text below that illustrates the use of polysemous words in both English and Arabic;

*I visited my uncle in his office last week. He was busy doing a lot of things. I made my decision to leave but he made me wait so I made some coffee and stayed.*

*Text:*

The word "make" has more than one interpretation. However, these interpretations seem to have related meanings. In contrast, the same word “make” cannot be used in Arabic to carry more than one meaning even if their meanings are related. There are different interpretations for every use of “make” in Arabic that give specific meanings. In the sentence, “I made my decision to leave”’ different equivalents can be used for translating "made" into إتخاذ قراري. The other translation by using one word for both the verb "made" and the noun "decision" is قررت. On the other hand there are other translations for the same words which are inappropriate counterparts وكأن قراري أو جعلت قراري and then this kind of translation is incorrect translation صنع قراري أو عملت قراري. In the second sentence accurate. Many students suppose that the verb "forced" refers to the implied meaning of the verb "made" in this context. They may translate it into أجبرني while few students may give acceptable answers by translating it into اضطرني. And who have not background on translation may use inappropriate counterparts such as جعلت or incorrect equivalents. As for the third sentence in the above text most of students may use the correct counterpart as it is well known to them. They may translate it into جهت to prepare whereas may be few of students could use acceptable translation as عملت or may give inappropriate translation by choosing عملت.
I.1.2.3. Background

The fact that a word may be associated with several meanings was addressed at least as early as the writings of Aristotle (Barnes 1984). In the opening of Categories, Aristotle distinguishes between synonymy (‘univocity’) and homonymy (‘multivocity’, ‘being spoken of in many ways’). Two things, a and b, are synonymous or univocal if they are both called by the same name F, and the definition of F is the same for both of them, whereas a and b are homonymous if they are called by the same name F, but the definition of F for a does not completely overlap with the definition of F for b (Shields 2009). An example of synonymy is the occurrences of human in ‘Socrates is a human’ and ‘Plato is a human’, where the things named by the word human are the same in both cases. An example of homonymy is the occurrences of bank in ‘John went to the bank to open a savings account’ and ‘Plato and Socrates had a picnic on the bank’, where the things that are named by bank (‘financial institution’, ‘riverbank’) have distinct definitions. Furthermore, as the definition above states, homonymy also includes those instances of things called by the same name that have partially overlapping definitions. Consider the occurrences of healthy below:

(1) a. Socrates is healthy.
b. Socrates’ exercise regimen is healthy.
c. Socrates’ complexion is healthy.

These three predications of healthy are non-univocal. In (1)a the meaning of the predicate is roughly ‘is physically fit’, in (1)b. it means ‘promotes health’, and in (1)c. it means ‘is indicative of health’. As Aristotle observed, the meanings of healthy in (1)b. and (1)c. are both dependent on the meaning of healthy in (1)a, which is contained as part of their definitions. This is referred to as a kind of core-dependent homonymy (Shields 1999; Owen 1960 termed this ‘focal meaning’), that is, an intermediate case between univocity and full homonymy.

Until relatively recently, almost all theories of linguistic semantics were based on these classical ideas about necessary and sufficient application conditions for concepts, as manifested most notably by Katz’s semantic theory (1972; Katz and Fodor 1963; Katz and Postal 1964). Katz’s approach takes word meanings to be constituted by definitions, and lists as many meanings for a word as there are sets of necessary and sufficient conditions for its application. In contemporary linguistic theory this view is still held by a number of scholars, most notably by those working within Anna Wierzbicka’s (1996) theory of Natural Semantic Metalanguage (NSM).
Another early mention of the issue of lexical meaning variation in the history of Western philosophy is Locke’s (1975 [1689]) discussion of the English connective but and Leibniz’s (1996 [1765]) subsequent critique of it (cf. Fieke Van der Gucht and De Cuypere 2007). Locke saw but as being associated with a number of different meanings (e.g. it may express opposition, coordination, etc.), and expressed doubts about the possibility that they could all be instantiations of a single more abstract meaning.

Leibniz, on the other hand, took issue with Locke’s claim that but has several different meanings and argued that instead, we should try to reduce all the uses of a word to “a determinate number of significations” (Leibniz 1996 [1765]: III, §4), by searching for a ‘paraphrase’ that is able to cover as much of the semantic variation of the word as possible.

Interestingly, this short discussion between Locke and Leibniz sums up the broad lines of the traditional debate over polysemy. As (Ingrid Lossius Falkum), argued that ‘theories of polysemy representation are often divided into ‘sense enumeration lexicons’ and ‘core meaning approaches’. Sense enumeration lexicons, which take the representation of a polysemous lexical item to consist in a listing of all its uses’’, bear a clear resemblance to Locke’s position above, while core meaning approaches, which see polysemous lexical items as being represented in terms of a highly abstract ‘core meaning’, which remains constant across all its uses, strongly resembles Leibniz’s approach.

In general linguistics, Bréal (1924 [1897]) was the first to introduce the term ‘polysemy’ (‘polysémie’) to describe single word forms with several different meanings (cf. Nerlich 2003). For Bréal, polysemy was primarily a diachronic phenomenon, arising as a consequence of semantic change. Words acquire new meanings through use, but these do not automatically eliminate the old ones. Polysemy, then, is the result of the parallel existence of new and old meanings in the language; it is the ‘synchronic side’ of lexical semantic change. However, Bréal also observed that, at the synchronic level, polysemy is not really an issue, since the context of discourse determines the sense of a polysemous word and eliminates its other possible meanings (Bréal 1924: 157).

These early insights of Bréal also underlie much contemporary research in lexical semantics and pragmatics. Following the advent of transformational-generative grammar in the late 1950s, with its main focus on syntax, polysemy received little attention for several years (some exceptions are Weinreich 1964, 1966; Anderson and Ortony 1975; Apresjan 1974; Caramazza and Grober 1976). However, with the development of cognitive grammar during the 1980s, polysemy reappeared on the research agenda as a central topic in lexical semantics, in particular as a
result of the pioneering studies of prepositional polysemy conducted by Brugman (1988) and Lakoff (1987).

A central claim of these studies was that polysemy is not so much a linguistic phenomenon as a cognitive one, resulting from the way in which our conceptual categories are structured.

Today, there are broadly two main trends in the research on polysemy. One is the well of polysemy research conducted within the cognitive linguistics framework, which has grown out of the work by Brugman and Lakoff, as mentioned above, as well as Langacker’s (1987) foundational work in cognitive grammar (e.g. Geeraerts 1993; Tuggy 1993; Cuyckens and Zawada 1997; Dunbar 2001; Nerlich et al. 2003; Tyler and Evans 2003). The other is the polysemy research conducted within computational semantic frameworks, which includes most notably the generative lexicon account maintained by Pustejovsky (1995a), as well as several others (e.g. Copestake and Briscoe 1996; Kilgarriff 1992, 1995; Kilgarriff and Gazdar 1995; Asher and Lascarides 2003; Asher forthcoming). In contrast to the cognitive linguistic approaches, such computational approaches see polysemy as primarily a linguistic phenomenon, arising from lexicon-internal computational processes.

I.1.2.4. The Problem of Definition

A standard textbook definition of polysemy is “the association of two or more related senses with a single linguistic form” (Taylor 1989/2003: 144). In this section, three issues which have a bearing on the definition and delimitation of polysemy will be considered, including

1. The distinction between polysemy and homonymy,
2. The distinction between ambiguity and vagueness, and
3. The connection between polysemy and contextual modulation of lexical meaning.

I.1.2.4.1. Polysemy and Homonymy

Weinreich’s (1964) distinction traditionally, polysemy is distinguished from homonymy. In polysemy, the different senses of a single lexical item are seen as being related in some non-trivial way, whereas in homonymy, the multiple encoding is a matter of historical accident. An example of homonymy is the lexical form coach = مدرّب أو حافلة which encodes the entirely unrelated meanings ‘bus’ and ‘sports instructor’. It is standard to see these as being represented as two different lexemes (COACH1 and COACH2) in the mental lexicon.

However, drawing the distinction between related and unrelated senses of a lexical form is often far from a straightforward matter. The question is: What does it mean for two
senses to be related? « The criteria that have been suggested include etymology and speaker intuitions about unrelatedness vs. relatedness of meaning. »(Lyons 1977b). According to the etymological criterion, two senses are homonymous if they are historically unrelated. On this approach, the linguistic form file would be an instance of homonymy, as the sense ‘folder or box for holding loose papers’ originates from the French word fil and the sense ‘tool with roughened surface’ comes from the old English word féol. That these two senses came to be associated with the same lexical form in contemporary English is thus a matter of historical accident. According to the same criterion, the noun position, which has the senses ‘a particular way in which someone or something is placed or arranged’ and ‘a person’s particular view or attitude toward something’, would be polysemous as a result of the shared etymological origin of its senses.

However, this way of distinguishing between polysemy and homonymy is problematic if we are concerned with characterising the linguistic knowledge of speakers and hearers. To illustrate, consider the English word cardinal. This word encodes the meanings ‘leader of the Roman Catholic Church’ or ‘North American songbird of the bunting family’. The two senses are historically related; the male cardinals are mostly red in colour and so this bird was named cardinal by virtue of its resemblance in colour to the red cassocks worn by cardinals. According to the etymological criterion, then, cardinal would be polysemous. However, many speakers of English may not be aware of this historical connection, and to them the two senses may seem entirely unrelated (i.e. homonymous). So, distinguishing between polysemy and homonymy on the basis of etymology does not, in many cases, capture differences in speakers’ intuitions of semantic relatedness, and, although such etymological considerations are no doubt useful to lexicographers in the making of dictionaries, it is doubtful whether they are relevant to a synchronic analysis of polysemy.

Another criterion that has been suggested as a way to distinguish between polysemy and homonymy is speaker intuitions about related and unrelated senses.

According to this criterion, two senses are polysemous if they are judged by native speakers to be related, and homonymous if they are judged to be unrelated (or at least their meanings are considered to be further apart than polysemous senses as in, e.g., cardinal). Distinguishing polysemy from homonymy would thus depend on a sort of ‘folk etymology’. A problem that arises in connection with this criterion is that sense relatedness appears to be a matter of degree, and, moreover, judgements about the relatedness of the senses of a given word are likely to be subjective (Lyons 1977b).
I.1.2.4.2. Ambiguity and Vagueness

Many scholars discussed distinction in semantic theory is that between ambiguity and vagueness (e.g. Kempson 1977; Cruse 1986; Atlas 1989; Geeraerts 1993; Tuggy 1993; Williamson 1994). Traditionally, lexical ambiguity is seen as involving two or multiple lexemes with distinct senses (and may, as we saw above, include both homonymy and polysemy), and vagueness a single lexeme with a non-specific meaning (monosemy), which is contextually specified. Different kinds of vagueness are discussed in the literature, including ‘indeterminacy of meaning’, that is, cases in which the meaning of the lexical item appears to be quite intangible, and ‘lack of specification’, that is, cases in which the meaning of the lexical item is in principle quite clear but is very general (Kempson 1977: 125). The adjective good has been mentioned as an example of indeterminacy of meaning, due to the range of different senses it may express in describing different things (e.g. good knife/football player/student/weather, etc.), as well as in describing the same thing (e.g. a good job could be one that’s well paid, offers interesting tasks, has an inclusive social environment, gives a certain social status, etc.). Examples of vagueness due to lack of specification are terms such as teacher, cousin, neighbour, etc., all of which are unspecified with regard to gender.

Several tests have been proposed for distinguishing between ambiguity and vagueness. These can be divided into the following types:

1. Logical tests.
2. Linguistic tests, and
3. Definitional tests (Geeraerts 1993, 1994).

An example of a logical test is the one proposed by Quine (1960: 129), according to which a lexical item is ambiguous if it can at once be clearly true and clearly false of the same thing. For instance, an assertion of ‘Rachida is wearing a light skirt’ would be true of a situation where Rachida is wearing a black skirt made of a thin fabric of little weight, if light is taken to denote the property ‘of little weight’, and false if light is taken to denote the property ‘pale’. Thus, the adjective light is ambiguous according to the logical test.

Linguistic tests involve semantic restrictions on sentences that contain two occurrences of the lexical item under consideration. If a grammatical construction requires semantic identity between the two occurrences, ambiguous expressions will give rise to several readings for the construction. For instance, Kempson’s (1977: 129) anaphora-based test involves the use of the expression do so too (or so did Choukri, Choukri did/has/will/is too), which demands identity of meaning of two verb phrases.7proposed by Zwicky and Sadock
A verb phrase, then, is two-ways ambiguous if conjoining a do so too phrase to it renders the whole sentence two-ways ambiguous, as in (2) and (3):

(2) Rachida wore a light skirt and Djamila did so too.

(3) Kamel went to the bank and his mother did so too.

Both sentences above have two readings because the same senses of light and bank must be selected in each of the conjuncts, indicating that the expressions are ambiguous.

Compare with (4) and (5), where the expressions neighbour and good may be distinctly specified in the two conjuncts (that is, so-called ‘crossed’ readings are allowable):

(4) Hassen is my neighbour and Mekki is too.

(5) The book is good and the cake is too.

According to Kempson’s test, then, neighbour and good are not ambiguous but vague, and should thus be represented as single lexemes.

Another type of linguistic test is ‘co-predication’, which is taken as a diagnostic of ambiguity if it gives rise to ‘zeugma’, that is, the oddity that results when two or more.

I.2. Semantics

I.2.1. Definition of Semantics

Semantics is the study of meaning. A word can have two sorts of meaning. First, it may have ‘reference’ to the word for instance « red » describes the colour of blood; « chair » refers to a piece of furniture, with legs and a back, on which a human being may comfortably sit. Secondly, a word has ‘sense’, which determines its semantic relation to other words, e.g., ‘narrow’ is the opposite of ‘wide’ and « crimson » refers to a colour that is a special sort of « red » (we say that crimson is a hyponym of red). Every morpheme has a meaning. The ending -er, added to a verb, derives a noun which refers either to the agent (e.g., writer, player) or else to an instrument intended for the activity (e.g., cooker). Some morphemes have different meanings with different kinds of words: un- indicates an opposite quality with an adjective (e.g., kind, unkind), but a reverse action with a verb (e.g., tie, untie).

Meaning is also associated with the way in which words are combined to make phrases, clauses and sentences. Compare the dog bite the postman and the postman bite the dog which involve the same word meaning but quite different sentence meanings because of the different syntactic arrangements.

As language is used, meaning is both the beginning and the end point. A speaker has some message in mind. He chooses words with suitable meanings and puts them together in appropriate grammatical constructions; all these have established phonetic forms, which
motivate how he speaks. A listener will receive the sound waves, decode them and if the act of communication is successful—understand the speaker's message.

The study of language must pay close attention to meaning. We consider the meanings of words, and their grammatical properties, and see how these interrelate. When a speaker of a language encounters a new word he may first of all learn its meaning, and will then have a fair idea of the morphological and syntactic possibilities; or he may first of all learn something of how to use the word grammatically, and will help him to work out its meaning.

I.2.2. Semantic Translation Problems at Word Level

Many researches dealt and studied translation problems that undergraduate students face in the translation process from the source language to the target language. Translation problems are allocated various titles in according to many scholars, such as 'challenges' and 'pitfalls' (Clark 2000: 209) others alternate between 'difficulties' and 'problems' (Pontiero 1992; Mauriello 1992). Newmark (1980) also uses problems and difficulties together, without differentiating between the two labels. Ghazala (1995: 17) argued that a translation problem is "any difficulty which makes us stop translating to think about it."

According to Ghazala, these problems are due to either sound and lexis (word) or grammar and style (1995). Newmark (1993: 2) characterized a translation problem as "a stretch of text of any length which is not readily amenable to literal or word for word translation".

I.3. Translation

There are various definitions of translation; Catford defines it as "an operation performed on languages, a process of substituting a text in one language for a text in another", it is the replacement of textual material in one language (SL) by equivalent material in another language (TL).

Ghazal (1995:1-2), for example defines it as follows:
As a subject, translation is generally used to refer to all the processes and methods used to convey the meaning of the source language into the target language. That is, the use of:

(1) Words which already have an equivalent in Arabic language; (2) new words for which no equivalent was available in Arabic before; (3) foreign words written in Arabic letters; and (4) foreign words changed to suit Arabic pronunciation, spelling and grammar.

Here are examples to illustrate these four types respectively:
One of the most prominent definitions of translation is stated by Newmark (1988:5) who defines translation as "rendering the meaning of a text into another language in the way that the author intended the text". This definition emphasise on rendering meaning of the source language text into the target language text as what is intended by the author.

Nida and Taber (1982:12), on the other hand stated that “translating consists in reproducing in the receptor language the closest natural equivalent of the source message”. This definition is more comprehensive than the previous ones. Nida and Taber explicitly stated that translation is closely related to the problems of languages, meaning and equivalence.

I.3.1. Translation Methods

According to Newmark, translation methods related to the whole text; while translation procedures are used for sentences. There are many different methods of translation, semantic translations is one of them.

I.3.1.1. Semantic Translation

It attempts to produce the precise contextual meaning of the original respecting the target language grammatical structure taking more account of the aesthetic value of the source text.

I.3.1.1.1. Literal Translation Meaning

According to this point of view, words do possess a literal meaning, all other meanings are merely derived and figurative. For example, the literal meaning of mouse is the rodent; a derived meaning is the computer mouse. A bed is “a peice of furniture that you lay on” (literal); it is something flat at the bottom of something eles “a river bed” or a place where something can be found in abundance “a shellfish bed, a bed of roses” in a figurative way. But literal meanings are not always so easy to spot. For instance, a position can be a physical position “a crouched position”, a psychological position, a stand, a point, of view, “a Soviet position on German unity”, or social position, a job (his position as a Speaker). Which one is the literal meaning? We may be inclined to think it is the physical sense, but we are clearly not as sure as with mouse or bed. (Pierre Frath)

Another problem is the link between literal and derived meanings? What does it consist of? Let us consider the word knocker, which can mean door knocker, someone who
knocks, or (not very nicely) women's breasts. I asked my native English-speaking informants if they felt these meanings were somehow linked and if they could formulate these links. All informants felt that they were indeed linked. The person meaning was definitely considered as the literal meaning. The door-knocker meaning was explained in terms of metonymy (the object used to knock is named after the person who is doing the knocking). As for the breast meaning, a wealth of links were offered:

- metaphorical links to the door-knocker meaning
  - Breasts resemble some door knockers
  - Breasts protrude like door knockers
- a metonymical link to the door-knocker meaning
  - Breasts are something one grabs (or feels like grabbing) like a door-knocker
- metaphorical links to senses of to knock
  - The sexual impact of breasts may knock you over
  - When women run, breasts may move up and down, which resembles the act of knocking on doors
  - Breasts knock together

Thus the linear theory fails on two counts: i) there is no definite way of deciding which is the literal meaning; and ii), the link between literal and derived meanings cannot always be specified with certainty, even when it is established.

I.3.1.2. Communicative Translation

No one can deny the important role of translation in the communication process as it was and still an effective means that can be used to help anyone to communicate with people out of his/her own speech community; that is why translation is considered as a remedy for a lot of communicational problems. Translation has played a great role in spreading and developing language cultures. It has also been the focal point of nowadays studies as it attempts to narrow the gaps that occur between languages especially cultural and linguistic ones. “A communicative process which takes place within a social context” (Hatim & Mason, 1990 quoted in Shuttleworth & Cowie, 1999:21).

I.4. Context

I.4.1. The Concept of Context

Many linguists defined context from different points of view according to their own fields in order to support their own ideas, and theories.
Widdowson focused his study on language meaning. Widdowson (2000: 126) defines context as “those aspects of the circumstance of actual language use which are taken as relevant to meaning.” He further pointed out, “in other word, context is a schematic construct the achievement of pragmatic meaning is a matter of matching up the linguistic elements of the code with the schematic elements of the context.”

Guy Cook as well took the ‘context' into consideration when he studied the relationship between discourse and literature. In his definition, context is just a form of knowledge the word. Cook (1999: 24) stated that “the term ‘context’ can be used in a broad and narrow sense. In the narrow sense, context refers to factors outside the text under consideration. In the broad sense, context refers to knowledge of these factors and to knowledge of other parts of the text under consideration, sometimes referred to as ‘co-text’.”

I.4.2. Types of Context

Context has been understood in various ways. It may be linguistic context, situational context, and cultural context.

I.4.2.1. Linguistic Context

Linguistic context refers to the context within the discourse, that is to say, the relationship between the words, phrases, sentences and even paragraphs. Take the word ‘bachelor’. We cannot understand the exact meaning of the sentence ‘He is bachelor’ without the linguistic context to make clear the exact meaning of this word. In other words linguistic context is often alternatively termed as co-text, which refers to the linguistic unit in a text.

I.4.2.2. Situational Context

Situational context always refers to the relevant features of the situation in which a text has meaning, the environment, time and place, etc. in which, the discourse occurs, and also the relationship between the participants.

I.4.2.3. Cultural Context

Cultural context or socio-cultural context refers to the history, culture, customs, and values of a speech community. It is helpful to the reasoning process of the participants in a speech event. For example: when you say “you have lost weight” in America and China, it will have different implications. In America, people will think it kind of favorable because many of the Americans are over-weighted. But in China, people think it as a warning and an advice to go to the doctor.
Conclusion

The following points are concluded:

1- Most of the subjects did not give an attention to the co-text in their attempt to translate the polysemic words and since polysemous words are co-text dependant, the subjects failed to translate them correctly.

2- The majority of the subjects resorted to the "central or core" meanings of the polysemous words regardless of other associated meanings or "meaning variants".

3- Most of the subjects treated the polysemous words has a monosemic ones, consequently, they committed serious mistakes.

Although collocational relations are of a great assistance in guessing the meaning of polysemous words, most of the subjects did not depend on them in their renderings.
Introduction

The research main concern is to investigate the hypothesis whether the students of 2\textsuperscript{nd} year English LMD at University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla succeed in translating some English polysemous words when they put the different contexts in their consideration or not. In addition, this chapter is concerned with the description of the tools used, the subjects, the sample, and the analysis of the data obtained from the test and the questionnaire. This will be followed by a summary of the findings.

II.1. The Sample

The subjects of the present study are twenty 2\textsuperscript{nd} year LMD students from English department faculty of letters and languages University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla. The subjects have been chosen randomly, the choice has fallen on those subjects based on the following criteria: translation starts to be studied at this level (2\textsuperscript{nd} year LMD) that is to say, they are beginners in translation since they deal with sentences. Moreover, it is an appropriate population for investigating how they deal with English words, especially key words, they do not understand.

II.2. Research Tools

Two main tools have been used to collect data and test the hypothesis; a test and a questionnaire. The subjects have been asked first to translate the sentences in the test, and then answer the questions in the questionnaire.

II.3. The Test

II.3.1. Description of the Test

The test consists of six samples; each sample contains three English sentences containing polysemous word with three different meanings. The suggested polysemous words are supposed to be familiar to the subjects, which have been carefully chosen from the 2010 Oxford Dictionary, Cambridge Advanced Learner’s Dictionary 3\textsuperscript{rd} Edition. The six polysemous words are: involve, break, take, make, sound, and run. The subjects were asked to
translate them into Arabic, we chose this direction because English is a foreign language and the subjects may not be familiar with its lexis in all contexts, we used sentences to be translated since the subjects are beginners and cannot deal with texts.

The test aims at extracting whether subjects can provide an appropriate equivalent translation to the suggested polysemous words or not.

II.4. Analysis

II.4.1. Sample One

In sample number one, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “involve” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:

1. His friendship with the accused involved him into the scandal.
2. The job offered involves my living in Ouargla.
3. The matter involves my honour.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:

1. ٚسطرٗ صذالرٗ تاٌّرُٙ فً اٌفضٍحح
2. ذرطٍة اٌٛظٍفح اٌّؼشٚضح ػًٍ أْ أػٍش فً ٚسلٍح
3. ذرؼٍك اٌّسأٌح تششفً

In the first English sentence, the word “involve” is used as a verb which means “to say or to do something to show that somebody took part in something, especially a crime.”(Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 823). The equivalent of the verb “involve” in this sentence in Arabic is ٚسّطد, the subjects’ translation of this word has differed as shown in Table 01 below.

The results show that, fourteen subjects, out of twenty, have translated the verb “involve” accurately using different Arabic words like: ٚسطرٗ صذالرٗ تاٌّرُٙ فً اٌفضٍحح. Which are considered as synonyms of ٚسطرٗ صذالرٗ تاٌّرُٙ فً اٌفضٍحح. This means that, the subjects have relied on the context and have understood the meaning of the sentence.

Four subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the verb “involve” inaccurately, they have used two Arabic words such as: ٚسطرٗ صذالرٗ تاٌّرُٙ فً اٌفضٍحح. This means they have not translated the meaning of the sentence, because, they have not relied on the context.

Whereas, two subjects, out of twenty, have not translated the sentence, may be, because they did not understand it.
Table 01: First Translation of the Word “involve”

In the second English sentence, the word “involve” is used as a verb, which means, “if a situation, an event, or an activity involves something, that thing is an important or necessary part or result of it” synonym of entail. (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 822).

The table 02 below shows that, twelve subjects, out of twenty, have succeed in getting the required equivalence, which is "تمعطّب". These students have relied on the sentence context that helped them in selecting the appropriate equivalence. Four subjects, out of twenty, failed in giving the right translation, they have used words like: تحفزني، تجبرني، تساعدني, because, they have understood the word "involve" inaccurately. While, four subjects, out of the twenty, have not attempted translation at all, perhaps they have not understood the sentence meaning.

Table 2: Second Translation of the Word “involve”

In the third English sentence, the word “involve” is used as a verb, which means, “if a situation, an event or an activity involves somebody/ something, they take part in or are affected by it”. (Oxford Dictionaries, 2010: 823). It is another meaning of the same word “involve”, its equivalence in Arabic is "تمعطّب" in this sentence.

The results in table 03 below show that, eight subjects, out of twenty, have succeeded in rendering this sentence and getting the needed equivalence of the verb “involve”, the eight subjects have used different words which are synonyms of "تمعطّب" like: تهم، تخصّ. Those subjects
have understood the sentence correctly, since they relied on its context well. While only two subjects, out of twenty, have not rendered the acceptable translation of the verb “involve” when they have used the word تطلَّب, which is more suitable for the previous sentence and not for this one. Ten subjects, out of twenty, have not translated this sentence, perhaps, because it seems to be more difficult than the previous ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/acceptable</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Translation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 03: Third Translation of the Word “involve”

II.4.2. Sample Two

In sample number two, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “break” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:
1. The boy broke the window.
2. This medicine will break you of smoking.
3. The crowd broke when the match had ended.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:

1. وش اٌٛذ إٌافزج
2. سٛف ٌخٍصه ٘زا اٌذٚاء ِٓ اٌرذخٍٓ
3. ذفشق اٌجّٙٛس ػٕذ أرٙاء اٌّثاساج

In the first English sentence the word, “broke” is used as a verb, which means “to be damaged and separated into two or more parts, as a result of force”. (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 176). The appropriate equivalent of the verb “break” in Arabic is وش in this sentence.

In the first English sentence the word, “broke” is used as a verb, which means “to be damaged and separated into two or more parts, as a result of force”. (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 176). The appropriate equivalent of the verb “break” in Arabic is كسر in this sentence.

Here, in this sentence, as shown in table 04 below that, the twenty subjects have succeeded in their attempts of translation the first English sentence using two different words, seventeen subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the Arabic equivalent كسر, and three subjects, out of twenty, have used the word حطم in their translation, which is considered as a synonym of the Arabic word كسر. The subjects have succeeded in giving the appropriate translation of this sentence, since they relied on the context.
Translation | Number | Percentages  
---|---|---  
Accurate/acceptable | 20 | 100%  
Inaccurate | 00 | 00%  
No translation | 00 | 00%  
Total | 20 | 100%  

Table 04: First Translation of the Word “break”

In the second English sentence, the word “break” is used as a verb; which means “often followed by of to cause a person to give up a habit” (The Collins English Dictionary, 1986: 76).

Table 05 below shows that, the majority of the subjects have succeeded in giving the needed translation. Eighteen subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the word “break” correctly, using different words like: ستجعلك تتوقف، بخصوص or the Arabic clauses like: سيستاعدك في الإقلاع، سيجعلك تقع. All those subjects have relied on the context rather than word itself. While, only two subjects, out of the twenty, have used the word سحول، which make no sense in this sentence, and do not lead to the appropriate translation.

| Translation | Number | Percentages  
---|---|---  
Accurate/acceptable | 18 | 90%  
Inaccurate | 02 | 10%  
Not translation | 00 | 00%  
Total | 20 | 100%  

Table 05: Second Translation of the Word “break”

In the third sentence, the word “break” is used as a verb, it means “to stop something for continuing” (Longman English Dictionary Online). The equivalent of the verb “break” in this sentence in Arabic is "تفرق", the subjects’ translation of this word has differed as shown in Table 06 below.

The results show that, ten subjects, out of twenty, have given the suitable equivalent to the word “break” and got the correct translation. Here, the students have used different words that is considered as synonyms of the verb تفرق for example: انصرف، انضم افترق، انتشر. It can be
said that the context played a great role in helping the students to select the appropriate equivalents.

Four subjects, out of twenty, have not understood the meaning of the word “break” in this sentence; some have translated it literally like: كسر, while others have rendered the verb “break” to صاح, which are not accurate in this context. Whereas, six subjects, out of twenty, have not attempted translation of this sentence in order to avoid falling in mistakes, that’s why they neglected it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/acceptable</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 06: Third Translation of the Word “break”

II.4.3. Sample Three

In sample number three, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “take” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:

1. We found that all the seats were taken.
2. She took the 10.30 flight to England.
3. I hope you are all taking notes.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:

1. وجدها كل المقاعد محجوزة.
2. استقلت رحلة 10.30 إلى إنكلترا.
3. أمل أنكم كلاكم تدونون ملاحظاتكم.

In the first English sentence, the word “take” is used as a verb, which means, “to sit down in or use a chair” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1576). Hence, the appropriate equivalent in this case in Arabic is محجوزة.

Table 07 below shows that, the majority of the population have rendered the appropriate equivalent of the word “taken” which is محجوزة, sixteen subjects, out of twenty, have translated the meaning of the sentence accurately, because they have understood its meaning from the context. Whereas, four subjects, out of twenty, failed in rendering the
needed translation since they used أخذت as an equivalent of the word “take”, those subjects have translated this sentence literally focusing on the common meaning of the word “take”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 07: First Translation of the Word “take”

In the second English sentence, the word “take” is used as a verb, which means, “to use a form of transport” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1576). Its accurate equivalent in Arabic is استقلت.

The results are shown in table 08 below, only eight subjects, out of twenty, have translated this sentence accurately and we have accepted their translation, since they have understood the sentence meaning according to its context. These subjects have used the word استقلت in addition to ركبت، سافرت، أقثلت which is considered as synonyms to the word استقلت.

While, nine subjects have failed in rendering the acceptable equivalent of the word “take” in this sentence, since they have used the core meaning of the word “take” which is أخذت, that’s why the sentence meaning has lost. Those subjects have neglected the context of the word “take” in this sentence. Whereas, three subjects out of twenty have not attempted translation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 08: Second Translation of the Word “take”
In the third English sentence, the word “take” is used as a verb, which means “to find out and record something, to write something down” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1576). In Arabic, we say دّْٚ.

Table 09 below reveals that, only six subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the word successfully, and they have provided the needed translation, using words as: كتاب، دّْٚ، سجّل those subjects supported our hypothesis, because they relied on the sentence context rather than translate literally, and they have understood the word “take” from its context in this sentence, not understanding words in isolation.

The great majority of the subjects did not get the suitable translation. Where fourteen subjects, out of twenty, have used the word أخز to render the verb “take” in this sentence, because they have not understood the meaning of “take” in this context, although this sentence is useful in their daily courses and lectures. Here the subjects preferred literal translation, which did not lead them to get the appropriate equivalent of the word “take” in this sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/acceptable</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 09: Third Translation of the Word “take”

II.4.4. Sample Four

In sample number four, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “make” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:
1. Lionel Messi makes 40 millions of dollars a year!
2. Lucy makes lunch for Francis.
3. She made it to the airport just in time to catch her plane.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:
1. يتحصل ليونيل ميسي على 40 مليون دولار سنويا.
2. تحضر لوسي الغداء لفرانسيس.
3. وصلت إلى المطار في الوقت المحدد لحافز بطائرتها.
The word “make” in the first English sentence is used as a verb, which means, “to earn or gain money” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 931). It is one of the common senses of the word “make”, which means ﻣَﺣَﺪـٍ in Arabic. The subjects’ translation of this word has differed as shown in Table 10 below.

Most of the subjects have succeeded in getting the needed equivalent of the word “make” in this sentence, the accurate translation have differed; sixteen subjects, out of twenty, have used different words which are considered synonyms of the word “make” in this context, such as: إٌرحصً، ٌىسة، ٌجًٕ، ٌرحصً، ٌحصً ػٍى، ٌرماضى،. While, four subjects, out of twenty, have not attempted translation; perhaps, they did not understand the sentence meaning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: First Translation of the Word “make”

In the second English sentence, the word “make” is used as a verb, which means “to prepare something, especially something artistic or something to eat” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 930). The Arabic equivalent of this word in this context is تـﺤـضـرٍ.

The results in table 11 below show that, fourteen subjects, out of twenty, have rendered an accurate translation, they have used words like: تـﺤـضـرٍ، تـﺤـضـرٍ to refer to the word “make” in the second sentence, those subjects have succeeded in their attempts, because they have understood the sentence meaning from its context and they have avoided literal translation.

Whereas two subjects, out of twenty, have failed in giving the appropriate translation of the word “make”, since they have relied on literal translation, which gave no sense. The first subject has used the word تـﺼـعـنٍ to refer to the word “make” in this sentence, while the second one has used the word تـأـخـذٍ. whereas, four subjects, out of twenty, have not tried translation.
Table 11: Second Translation of the Word “make”

In the third English sentence, the word “make” is used as a verb, which means, “manage to arrive at a place within specified time or catch a train or other forms of transport” (Oxford Online Dictionary). The appropriate translation of “make” in this context is {

Table 12 below shows that, eight subjects, out of twenty, have translated this sentence successfully, they have provided two different words to get the appropriate equivalent of “make” in this sentence, such as: {

However, five subjects, out of twenty, have not succeeded in their translation, they have used words like: {

While, seven subjects, out of twenty have provided no translation, may be, because they have not understood the word meaning of “make” in this sentence.

II.4.5. Sample Five

In sample number five, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “sound” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:
1. Can you hear that sound?
2. Thank you for your sound advice.
3. Children sleep a sound sleep.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:

1. هل تستطيع سماع ذاك الصوت؟
2. شكرًا على نصيحتك القيمة.
3. ينام الأطفال نومًا عميقًا.

In the first English sentence, the word “sound” is used as a noun, which means “something you can hear” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1473). In Arabic we say صوت.

The results in table 13 below show that, twenty subjects, out of twenty, have been able to understand the word meaning and they have provided the word صوت, they have understood the core meaning of the word “sound” in this sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: First Translation of the Word “sound”

In the second English sentence, the word “sound” is used as an adjective, which means “sensible; that you can rely on and that will probably give good results” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1474). Its equivalent in Arabic is قيم.

Table 14 below shows that, the majority of the subjects have not succeeded in getting the needed translation, except four subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the appropriate equivalent of the word “sound” in this sentence, they have used words like الحكيمة، المفيدة، القيمة، الجيدة. Those four subjects have understood the word meaning from it context in this sentence and have gave an accurate translation.

While, twelve subjects, out of twenty, have neglected translating the word “sound” in this sentence, they have rendered the sentence as شكرًا على نصيحتك, the omission of translating the word “sound” in this sentence reduced its meaning, because I do not thank you for an advice but for a perfect one. Two subject, out of twenty, have misunderstood this sentence,
where they failed to get an acceptable translation, since they have used words like: الزّنَاة، العلّيّة as equivalents of the adjective “sound” in this sentence. While two subjects, out of twenty, have no attempt in order to avoid any strange translation, may be, because they have not understood the sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate / acceptable</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 14: Second Translation of the Word “sound”**

In the third English sentence, the word “sound” is used as an adjective, which means “deep and peaceful sleep” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1474). The accurate equivalent in this context, in Arabic is بـعـمـق. The results in table 15 below show that, the majority of the subjects have not translated this sentence successfully, only two subjects, out of twenty, have rendered the exact equivalent of the word “sound” in this sentence, and they have succeeded in their translation since; they have chosen the word بـعـمـق, to refer to the word “sound” in this context.

Whereas, eleven subjects, out of twenty, have provided another Arabic equivalents of the word “sound” in their translation like: أنسَّام، موسيقى، الغناء، هادي، الهدوء، إيقاع، صوت. This depends on each interpretation. While, seven subjects, out of twenty, have provided no attempt, this is perhaps, because they have not understood the word of “sound” in this context.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate / acceptable</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 15: Third Translation of the Word “sound”**
II.4.6. Sample Six

In sample number six, the subjects were asked to translate three English sentences containing the word “run” as a polysemous word. The English sentences are:

1. I can run a mile in five minutes.
2. Do you know how to run this machinery?
3. He was arrested for running drugs across the border into Algeria.

Their equivalents in Arabic are:

1. تإِىأً أْ أسوض ٍِلاً فً خّس دلائك
2.ً٘ ذؼٍُ وٍفٍح ذشغًٍ ٘زٖ اٌّاوٍٕح؟
3.اػرمً تسثة ذٙشٌثٗ ٌٍّخذساخ ػثش اٌحذٚد إٌى اٌجضائش

The word “run” in the first English sentence is used as a verb, which means, “to move using your legs, going faster than when you walk” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1342), which means أسوـض in Arabic.

As shown in table 16, nineteen subjects, out of twenty, have understood the word and have translated it successfully; those subjects have used different Arabic versions as: أجـشي ألمطـغ، أجرـصاص، , which is considered as synonyms of the word “run” in this sentence, all the words that mentioned above, are suitable in this context, and give the acceptable translation. One subject, only, out of twenty, has not attempted translation, which may lead to a strange translation, since he/she has not understood the meaning of the word “run” in this sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: First Translation of the Word “run”

In the second English sentence, the word “run” is used as a verb, which means “ if a machine or engine runs, it operates” (Longman English Dictionary Online).

The equivalent of the verb “run” in this sentence in Arabic is "تشـتغل"، the subjects’ translation of this word has differed as shown in Table 17 below.
The results below show that, the majority of subjects, twenty out of twenty, have translated this sentence accurately, because they have got the right meaning of the word “run” in this sentence, and they have used different words to refer to “run” like تَشْغِيلْ، تَشْغِيلْ، which is considered as synonyms of the word “run”. Those subjects have relied on the context, and they have not selected the common meaning of the word “run” for this sentence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No translation</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: Second Translation of the Word “run”

In the third English sentence, the word “run” is used as a noun, which means, “to bring take something, especially guns or drugs, into a country illegally and secretly, synonym of smuggle” (Oxford Dictionary, 2010: 1342).

The equivalent of the word “run” in this sentence in Arabic is تَهْرِيبَة, the subjects’ translation of this word has differed as shown in Table 18 below.

The results show that, The great number of the subjects, fifteen out of twenty, have translated the sentence successfully, while they have rendered the word “run” into تَهْرِيبَة, because they have understood the word meaning from the context. Whereas five subjects, out of twenty, have provided no translation, may be, because they have not understood the sentence meaning, and to avoid any strange translation that may occur if they tried translation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accurate/ acceptable</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not translation</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18: Third Translation of the Word “run”
II.5. The Questionnaire

II.5.1 Description of the Questionnaire

The questionnaire consists of eleven questions; it has been divided into three sections. There are five questions in the first section, which is considered about translation in general, and there are four questions in the second section about polysemous words. Moreover, the last two questions, in the third section are about the given test.

II.5.2 Analysis

**Question One:** Do you like translation?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19: Students’ Views toward Translation

The table 19 above shows that, the great majority of subjects like translation, as expected by (100%), that is to say the majority of students enjoyed translating the sentences in the test.

**Question Two:** How do you evaluate yourself in translation?

Excellent  | Average  | Very good  | Bad  | Good  | Very bad |

This question helps in determining whether the students’ level influences their translation, their answers in the test, or not. As shown in table 20 below, only (05%) of the
subjects considered their level excellent, also (05%) of the subjects said that they are very
good in translation, while (40%) of the population claimed that, they had a very good level in
translation. Moreover, the lion’s share is to be the average level, with (50%) of the students.
Finally, no one of the subjects stated that, s/he has a bad or very bad level in translation. The
subjects used to evaluate their level according to their marks in translation module, or in their
ability to translate the sentences, that given in the test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very bad</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20: Students’ Level in Translation

**Question Three:** Which version of translation do you find more difficult?

- From Arabic into English
- From English into Arabic

As shown in table 21 below, the majority of the population (75%) stated that, they find
difficulties in translation from Arabic into English. Whereas, (25%) of them find difficulties
in translation from English into Arabic.

Students, who found difficulties in translating from Arabic into English, perhaps,
because of the lack in English vocabulary, since the English language is considered as a
foreign language for them, and they do not have enough vocabulary to render the message.
Whereas students, who faced difficulties in translation from English into Arabic, may be they
have difficulties in learning English language.
Table 21: The Students’ Difficult Version of Translation

Question Four: Where do you find difficulties?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From Arabic into English</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From English into Arabic</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table 22 reveals that, (45%) find difficulties with lexical items, (20%) with lexical items and tenses, where (10%) find difficulties with lexical items and prepositions, (10%) for tenses, (05%) who find difficulties with tenses and prepositions, (05%) with prepositions, and (05%) for conjunctions.

The great majority of the subjects find difficulties with lexical items, that’s why they did not give an appropriate translation while translating some sentence in the test concerning polysemous words.

Table 22: Students’ Difficulties in Translation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tenses</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexical items</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctions</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepositions</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexical items + tenses</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexical items + prepositions</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenses + prepositions</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question Five:** Which is more important for you, understanding words in isolation, or getting their meanings from the sentence (from the contexts)?

The objective of this question is to find out how students deal with words while attempting translation, and how they dealt with the polysemous words while translating the sentences in the test.

The results in table 23 show that, (70%) stated that, getting the word meaning from the sentence (from its context) is more important, which helps them in providing an appropriate sense of the word. Whereas (20%) claimed that, understanding words in isolation is more important, especially key words that enable them to get a successfully translation. While (10%) did not provide any answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Understanding words in isolation</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting their meaning from the sentence</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 23: Students’ Preference**

**Question Six:** Do you know polysemous words?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

The objective of this question is to check out, if students have a good knowledge about polysemous words or not, and to explain why they have not succeeded in translating some sentences in the test. The table 24 reveals that, only (20%) know the polysemous words, while, (80%) have no idea about them at all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 24: Students’ Knowledge about Polysemous Words**
Question Seven: If yes, are they?

Words that have the same meanings

Words that have the same spelling (orthography) and several meanings

Words with the same spelling and pronunciation and different meanings

This question is devoted to four students only, those who have answered with yes in the previous question, and stated that, they know polysemous words. Table 25 below shows that, there are only 04 students who were asked to give a definition of polysemous words. Despite they claimed that they knew the polysemous words, we found one student only gave the correct answer, which is “Words that have the same spelling (orthography) and several meanings”. While 03 students said that polysemous words are “Words with the same spelling and pronunciation and different meanings” but, they define Homonymy in this case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students’ Answer</th>
<th>Students’ Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Words that have the same meanings</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words that have the same spelling (orthography) and several meanings</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words with the same spelling and pronunciation and different meanings</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25: Suggested Definition of Polysemous Words

Question Eight: Do you find difficulties in translating polysemous words?

Yes   No

The table 26 reveals that, (60%) find difficulties in translating polysemous words, (10%) do not find difficulties, and (30%) did not answer this question. The students who found difficulties in translating polysemous words, perhaps, because they look at them as ambiguous words, that is why they failed in translating them.
Table 26: Difficulties in Translating Polysemous Words

**Question Nine:** If yes, why?

Only, seven students answered this question, justifying why they find difficulties in translating polysemous words. The justifications has differed, some said that, they do not know the polysemous words at all, and its theirs first time to heard about that new words. Some stated that, they find difficulties in translating polysemous words, because they must rely on context to get their appropriate meanings. Whereas, others said that, polysemous words have more than one meaning, and it is difficult to get their real meaning.

**Question Ten:** Did you find difficulties in translating the sentences in the test?

Yes  | No
---|---
10  | 06

As shown in table 27 below, (50%) found difficulties while translating the sentences of the test, (30%) did not find difficulties in the test. and (20%) did not answer this question.

Table 27: Difficulties in Translating Sentences of the Test

**Question Eleven:** If yes, which item posed difficulties for you?

This question devoted to the ten students, who answered with yes in the previous question, we expected ten answers but only two students have answered this question,
someone said that, s/he found difficulties in translating phrasal verbs. Whereas the other one claimed that, s/he found difficulties in translating tenses, but our main concern (our subject) is polysemous words.

II.6. Summary of the Findings

To sum up, the results gained from the analysis of both research tools, the test and the questionnaire, that used, we may say:

- The great majority of the 2\textsuperscript{nd} year students of English like translation.
- The majority of students are still beginner in translation.
- Most of the subjects find difficulties in translating from Arabic into English.
- Most of the subjects succeeded in translating the sentences that are given in the test.
- A big number of the students do not know polysemy as a term.
- Most of the students understand the common meaning of the polysemous words, but sometimes they failed in getting its other meanings.
- Some subjects did not make any effort, while translating the sentences of the test and leave too gaps.
- Sometimes, the subjects prefer literal translation, which did not give the appropriate translation always (sample six “sound”).
- A great number of the students rely on the context as a tool to understand ambiguous words, especially polysemous words.
Conclusion

This chapter is tackled through two main research tools, the test and the questionnaire, after analysing data, the conclusion that one can draw is that:

2\textsuperscript{nd} year LMD students of English have succeeded in translating the six samples of the test, which contain polysemous words, because they relied on the context, expect some sentences where they failed in getting the appropriate translation, when they translated them literally, and because the ambiguity of polysemous words.

The subjects master the common meaning of the polysemous words, but sometimes they find difficulties in guessing its extra meanings. Hence, misunderstanding of the words meaning leads to unsuccessful translation.

The questionnaire reveals that, 2\textsuperscript{nd} year English students do not know the polysemous words, and they faced difficulties with lexical items, and tenses in translation.

Also, lack in English vocabularies creates more problems to the 2\textsuperscript{nd} year LMD students of English whether these words are polysemous or not.

The bottom line is that, most problems of 2\textsuperscript{nd} year LMD students of English in translation are due to ambiguity of English words, but if they put the word context in their consideration, they will render an acceptable translation.
General Conclusion

A translation problem is any difficult that may affect the process of translation, and calls us to halt translating in order to check, recheck, use dictionary and rewrite, to provide a successful translation. Students encounter many problems while translating any document; which may be grammatical, lexical, stylistic, and phonological problems.

The present research seeks to investigate the errors committed by 2nd year LMD students of English at University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla when dealing with ambiguous words in general, and the polysemous words in particular.

The main focus in this study is the lexical problems, problems at word level, the case of polysemous words. We hypothesised that the context helps 2nd year LMD English students to overcome the problems created by the ambiguity of polysemous words, and provide a successful translation. In order to test the hypothesis, two main research tools have been used, the students have been asked first to translate six samples; each sample contains three English sentences containing polysemic word with three different meanings. Then to answer the questionnaire that consists of eleven questions concerning translation, polysemy, and the sentences of the test.

After the analysis of the results obtained in the test and the questionnaire we may say that, most of the students understood the polysemous words, and they translated them successfully; only if they put the context of the polysemous words into consideration. So the results confirmed our hypothesis.

Finally, to conclude this research, some recommendations are suggested in order to deal well with the polysemous words:

- 2nd year LMD students of English must learn vocabulary as much as possible, because lack in vocabulary represents a serious problems to the students in translation.
- 2nd year LMD students of English have to know that, the English word may have more than one meaning in addition to its common meaning.
- Students are required to bear in mind that, the context plays a great role in understanding the sentence meaning.
- Teachers have to make the students aware about the translation strategies, and pay more attention to the different contexts, because it is the key of any translation.
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Appendix
The following questions are of two types: Yes or No questions and WH questions. So please put (x) in type one, and answer in few words in type two.

1. Do you like translation?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

2. How do you evaluate yourself in translation?

Excellent [ ] Average [ ]

Very good [ ] Bad [ ]

Good [ ] Very bad [ ]

3. Which version of translation do you find more difficult?

From Arabic into English [ ]

From English into Arabic [ ]

4. Where do you find difficulties?

Tenses [ ] Lexical items [ ]

Conjunctions [ ] Prepositions [ ]
5. Which is more important for you, understanding words in isolation, or getting their meanings from the sentence (from the contexts)?

6. Do you know polysemous words?

Yes   ☐  No   ☐

7. If yes, are they?

Words that have the same meanings   ☐

Words that have the same spelling (orthography) and several meanings   ☐

Words with the same spelling and pronunciation and different meanings   ☐

8. Do you find difficulties in translating polysemous words?

Yes   ☐  No   ☐

9. If yes, why?

10. Did you find difficulties in translating the sentences in the test?

Yes   ☐  No   ☐

11. If yes, which item posed difficulties for you?
Dear students;

This test and questionnaire are a part of research work, which is intended to see whether polysemous words represent serious problems to 2nd year LMD English students in English – Arabic translation. Your contribution will be highly appreciated; your information will be kept strictly confidential, and please DO NOT WRITE YOUR NAMES.

Translate the following sentences into Arabic:

Sample One:
1. His friendship with the accused involved him into the scandal.

2. The job offered involves my living in Ouargla.

3. The matter involves my honour.

Sample Two:
1. The boy broke the window.

2. This medicine will break you of smoking.

3. The crowd broke when the match had ended.
Sample Three:
1. We found that all the seats were taken.

2. She took the 10.30 flight to England.

3. I hope you are all taking notes.

Sample Four:
1. Lionel Messi makes 40 millions of dollars a year!

2. Lucy makes lunch for Francis.

3. She made it to the airport just in time to catch her plane.

Sample Five:
1. Can you hear that sound?

2. Thank you for your sound advice.

3. Children sleep a sound sleep.

Sample Six:
1. I can run a mile in five minutes.

2. Do you know how to run this machinery?

3. He was arrested for running drugs across the border into Algeria.
Dear students;

This test and questionnaire are a part of research work, which is intended to see whether polysemous words represent serious problems to 2nd year LMD English students in English – Arabic translation.

Your contribution will be highly appreciated; your information will be kept strictly confidential, and please DO NOT WRITE YOUR NAMES.

Translate the following sentences into Arabic:

**Sample One:**
1. His friendship with the accused involved him into the scandal.
   - ورطته صداقته بالمتهم في الفضيحة.
2. The job offered involves my living in Ouargla.
   - تتطلب الوظيفة المعروضة علي أن أعيش في ورقلة.
3. The matter involves my honour.
   - يتعلق المسألة بشرفني.

**Sample Two:**
1. The boy broke the window.
   - كسر الولد النافذة.
2. This medicine will break you of smoking.
   - سوف يخلصك هذا الدواء من التدخين.
3. The crowd broke when the match had ended.
   - انصرف الجمهور عند انتهاء المباراة.
**Sample Three:**
1. We found that all the seats were taken.
2. She took the 10.30 flight to England.
3. I hope you are all taking notes.

**Sample Four:**
1. Lionel Messi makes 40 millions of dollars a year!
2. Lucy makes lunch for Francis.
3. She made it to the airport just in time to catch her plane.

**Sample Five:**
1. Can you hear that sound?
2. Thank you for your sound advice.
3. Children sleep a sound sleep.

**Sample Six:**
1. I can run a mile in five minutes.
2. Do you know how to run this machinery?
3. He was arrested for running drugs across the border into Algeria.
Abstract
The present research is studying the semantic ambiguity of lexical forms. Many, if not most, words have multiple meanings that pose a problem to students, mainly the second year students at Kasdi Merbah University Ouargla while translating from English into Arabic. The first part is theoretical which includes an overview on translation, semantics and polysemy. The second part which is the practical one and in order to investigate this problem, we hypothesize that, If 2nd year LMD students of English at University of Kasdi Merbah Ouargla put the different contexts of the polysemous words into consideration, they will translate them successfully. To check this hypothesis and to achieve the aims of this research, a test and a questionnaire are administered to a sample of second year students. One of the points of focus on this research is how context helps and may be reinforced in translating polysemous words. In other words, second year students rely on the context when attempting translation of polysemous words.

Key words: polysemy, polysemous words, semantic, lexical, context, ambiguity.
المقدمة

ي دور هذا البحث حول غموض المعنى الداللي في الجملة الإنجليزية أثناء عملية الترجمة إلى اللغة العربية، أو معنى آخر مشكلا تعداد المعنى للكلمة في اللغة أثناء عملية الترجمة من اللغة الإنجليزية للغة العربية، كما أننا أخذنا عينة من طلبة السنة الثانية ألم دي بجامعة قاصدي مرباح ورقة دراسة حالة.

يعتبر العديد من علماء اللغة أنه على مثقلن اللغة فهم الكلمة أو تعلم الكلمات في سياقاتها و غيره يفيد كثيرَا في استيعاب الجمل، لأنه غالبا ما نجد أن الكلمة الواحد حدة معاني في اللغة وتستعملها في سياقية مختلفة. بالرغم من أن بعض الطلبة الذين يدرسون اللغة الإنجليزية بإمكانهم التحكم في قواعد اللغة ومفرداتها و التراكيب إلا أنهم في بعض الأحيان يجذون صعوبة في فهم بعض النصوص الأكاديمية والتي تتطلب بخصوصهم، إلا أنه يمكن للطلبة تعلم الكلمات على حسب السياق حتى يكون فهمهم أوعست وأشمل.

الهدف الأساسي من هذا البحث هو تسليط الضوء على تعدد المعنى كمهمة صعبة عندما يتعلق الأمر بالترجمة من اللغة الإنجليزية إلى اللغة العربية. فيجب على الطلبة فهم معنى النص الأصلي جيدا قبل عملية الترجمة للحصول على ترجمة صحية إن لم تلق جيدة، ولقد احتضنا أساسا طلبة السنة الثانية ألم دي بجامعة قاصدي مرباح ورقة، ذلك لأنهم يدرسون الترجمة لأول مرة، فما قبل أن فهم المعنى جيدا للحصول على ترجمة صحية محدة من فهمها، أو معنى آخر، أحيانا يفضل الطلبة في إيجاد المعنى عند عملية الترجمة ذاك لأنهم لم يفهموا المعنى الصحيح للمفردات في اللغة الإنجليزية.

الشكلية البحث

كمما يهدف هذا البحث لمعاينة الصعوبات التي تطرأ الكلمات المتعددة المعنى التي يواجهها الطلبة أثناء عملية الترجمة، و ذلك لمحاولة إيجاد بعض الحلول التي قد تساعدهم في أداء عملية الترجمة بطريقة صحيحة. فكان طرح الإشكاليات التالية:

• لماذا يجد طلبة السنة الثانية ألم دي بجامعة قاصدي مرباح ورقة صعوبات في ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى من اللغة الإنجليزية إلى اللغة العربية؟

• هل سياق الجملة يساعد الطلبة في ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى بنجاح؟

فرضية البحث

وضعت الفرضية التالية والتي يمكن أن تؤكد الإشكالية وهي.

إلى ضعف طلبة سنة ثانية ألم دي بجامعة قاصدي مرباح ورقة سياق الجملة في الحساب، ستتم عملية ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى بنجاح.
منهجية البحث

اعتمد في هذا البحث على نموذج اختبار لتحقيق هدف البحث، إذ يحتوي هذا الاختبار على ستة مجموعات، تتضمن كل مجموعة ثلاثة أمثلة تتعرض للترجمة من طرف الطلبة ثم بعد ذلك تحليل للمعطيات.

خطة البحث

قسم البحث إلى قسمين أساسيين، قسم نظري وأخر تطبيقي. ففي النظري قدمت نظرة عامة حول الترجمة وذلك بتعرفها وذكر بعض نظرياتها التي تساهم في ضبط وحفظ المعنى الأصلي، ثم أشارت إلى مفهوم المعنى الدلالي ودوره في السياق، كذلك نظرة عامة حول تعدد المعنى، كيف عرفها بعض العلماء في اللغتين الإنجليزية والعربية، وكذا نظرة عامة على خلقية بعض المعلومات، رأى أن المعنى تعدد المعنى في اللغة. أما في القسم الثاني، أي القسم النظري كان الاهتمام منصباً على إثبات الفرضية والإشكال المطروح، وذكى بجمع المعلومات ثم تحليلها بالإضافة إلى مناقشة النتائج المتحصل عليها.

الفصل الأول الترجمة والتفعيلة في المعنى

مقدمة

إنقى عدة علماء على أن الترجمة هي عبارة عن عملية تحويل المعنى من اللغة الأجنبية إلى اللغة الأم، كما تتضمن عملية تحويل المعنى من لغة إلى أخرى، تواجه الترجمة، ومن بين هذه العوائق نجد الإتباس في المعنى، وبرز هذا المشكل إلى اختلاف البنية اللغوية والمفردات بين اللغات ذلك أنه لكل لغة أساليبها الخاصة أو قواعدها في بناء السياق، وهذا الشكل يستتجم من خلال هذا البحث التحقيق في العوائق والصعوبات التي يطرحها التباين المفردات في السياق اللغوي وإيجاد بعض الحلول لذلك.

يدور القسم الأول حول الترجمة وتشهد المعنى حيث ذكر بعض تعرفات الترجمة وأساليبها، وبالتحديد الترجمة الدلالية والتجميل التواصلية، ثم المعنى الدلالي وتعريفه وكذا تعرف السياق وأسلوبه ونظراً للدور المهم الذي يلعبه في الترجمة، كما كان الترجمة في هذا القسم منصباً على تعدد المعاني لأنه المشكل في عدم تحصيل ترجمة صحيحة، أو عدم الفهم، أيضًا تم التطرق إلى مفهوم تعدد المعنى والذي ذكره بعض العلماء في اللغتين الإنجليزية والعربية، وتم النظر أيضًا إلى خلقية حول تعدد المعنى عند الباحثين وتحليلهما في الأخير ووضع بعض المفاهيم التي تعبر قريبة من تعدد المعنى.

مشكلة المفردات في عملية الترجمة

يمكن تكييف تعرف مشكلة المفردات في عملية الترجمة على أنها أي مفردة أو عبارة قد تطرح مشكلة أو تحويل المحتوى من لغة إلى أخرى، بالتالي، يختلف نوع العائق في الدرجة، وهكذا تختلف الترجمة في تحديد صعوبات الكلمات التي تطرح مشكلة في الترجمة من ناحية المعنى.
يرى غزالة في هذا الموضوع أن معظم الطلبة يعتقدون أن المتراضفات اللغوية دائما تبقى كما هي.

و بالرغم من أن الطلبة يدركون أنه لا يوجد تشابه بين الكلمات في مختلف اللغات إلا أنهم يواجهون صعوبات في ترجمة هذه المتراضفات. تقول منى بایكر في ذلك تظهر للمترجم مشكلات الترجمة على مستوى الكلمة لأنه لا يوجد مكافأة مطلقة على مستوى الألفاظ بين مختلف اللغات، لكن الأهم في أن حين لا توجد مكافأة، معناه أن اللغة الهندس لا تملك مفردة مكافئة لما يقابلها في النص الأصلي.

1.1 تعدد المعاني

1.1.1 مفهومه

يعتبر ظاهرة شائعة في اللغتين العربية و الإنجليزية، ذلك لأنه موجود في اللغتين، و وجود هذه الظاهرة اللغوية تسبب في عدة مشاكل لغوية في حالات عدة خاصة عندما يقع المترجم في سياقات لغوية لها علاقة بالعدد اللغوي.

هناك عدة تعريفات لتعدد المعنى في اللغتين فهي هناك عدة علماء نظرت في ذلك منهم أولمان Ullman الذي عرفها على أنها حالة حيث يكون لكلمة الواحدة عدة معاني مختلفة، أما نايدا Nida فيرى أن تعدد المعنى في اللغة لا يسبب مشكل هام للمترجم لأنه نادرا ما يكون لكلمة الواحدة معنى. وكذا عدة علماء عرفوا تعدد المعنى كل حسب نظريته.

أما في اللغة العربية فيرها البعض استراق لفظي فالجريني قال أن تعدد معنى الكلمات يميل إلى لا علاقة أو وضوح متعلق بالكلمات نفسها ممكن أن نعرفه، أما السويطي يرى أن تعدد المعنى يمكن أن يثير اللغة و يجعلها قادرة على إظهار العالم البينيوي حولنا. لكنه أنكر أنه "استراق" و اعتمد على فكرة أنه لكل كلمة أكثر من معنى. و من جهة أخرى يرى أن كل أنواع الاستراق لكلمة الواحدة عنها معنى عام.

2.1.1 مشكل المفاهيم

هناك كتاب يمكننا أخذه كمعيار لتعريف تعدد المعاني يقول تایلر في ذلك أنها مجموعة من Tylor من المعاني ترتبط بكلمة لغوية واحدة. في هذا الصدد هناك ثلاثة مسائل متعلقة ببعضها و التي يمكن تصنيفها إلى جانب تعدد المعنى وهي:

- الفرق بين تعدد المعنى و التجانس.
- الفرق بين الابتسام و الغموض.
- العلاقة بين المعنى السياقي و المعنى المعجمي.

2.1 علم دلالات الألفاظ
1.2.1 تعريفه

هو علم يهتم بدراسة المعنى الدلالي للأنفاظ، فقد يكون لكلمة الواحدة عدة معان، أولاً يمكن أن يجد مرجع لهذا المعنى مثل (red) الذي يعبر على لون الدم أحمر، (chair) يعبر على أثاث بارجل وظهر.

أين يستطيع الإنسان الجلوس للاستراحة، ثانياً المعنى الحسي الذي يحدد العلاقة الدلالية بكلمات أخرى.

والتالي ذلك كلمة (crimson) هو عكس كلمة (narrow) و (wide). كذلك قد نضيف حروف في البداية لنتحول على (player) الذي يصبح (writer).

كلمات جديدة مثال ذلك إضافة (un) على الصفة kind لتصبح (unkind).

كذلك نجد أن المعنى مرتبط ببعض الكلمات حين تكون مجتمعة، بعضها في جملة أو عبارة.

ثانياً نقارن الجملتين ما، لفظان الجملتين (the postman bite the dog /the dog bite the postman) فنجد أن الجملتين بحتويات على نفس الكلمات لكن معنى الجملتين في حد ذاتها ليس مماثلاً وذل ذلك يرجع إلى موضوع الكلمات أو ترتيبها.

فالمتكلم يستعمل كلمات خاصة لإيصال الفكرة المرادة، و ذلك باستعمال هاته الكلمات بالترتيب اللازم لتكون جملة صحيحة من الناحية البنية و الكذا القواعد النحوية، وهذا يضبط للمتكلم طريقة الكلام كما يسهل على المستمع تلقى الفكرة.

يجب أن ننتمي المعنى عند دراسة اللغة و ذلك بتصنيف معنى المفردات على حسب القواعد النحوية الخاصة ببنية الجملة المتعلقة بها، فعندما يواجه المتعلم كلمات جديدة في اللغة يجب عليه أن يعرفها و يعرف معناها كذلك أولًا، ثم بعد ذلك يوظفها على حسب القواعد المعمول بها في اللغة.

المشكل المطروح للدلالة الفظية على مستوى الكلمة في الترجمة

نجد كثيراً من البحوث التي اعترضت مشاكل الترجمة التي تواجهها الطلبة خلال عملية الترجمة من النص الأصلي إلى النص الهدف. حيث شكلت مشكليات الترجمة إشكاليات عدة و متنوعة للباحثين، كل منظر على حساب وجهة نظره مثل كلارك "Clark" درس "مزالق الترجمة" كما تتراوح آخرون بين الصعوبات التي تواجه عملية الترجمة والمشكلات التي تواجهها الترجمة كPontiro، موريولو، Pontiro، و Newmark، و Mauriello، كما أنهم في بعض الأحيان زاروا بين صعوبات و مشكلات عملية الترجمة، ويرى غزالة Ghazala أن مشكل الترجمة هي أي صعوبة تعترضنا و تجعلنا نتوقف عن عملية الترجمة و التفكير بها.

فيروى أن سبب مشكليات الترجمة يعود إلى الصوت و الكلمات بالنسبة لغزالة Ghazala (المفردات) أو أسلوب القواعد النحوية. كما وصف نيومارك Newmark أي نص غير قابل للتعديل بسهولة إلى الترجمة الحرفية.
3.1 تعريف الترجمة

كانت ترجمة باللغة العربية هي عملية توظيف عادية لغة ما بما يتاكله في لغة أخرى، أما غزالة فربى أن الترجمة بمثابة مشاعر إلى كل الممارسات والنظرية التي يتم استخدامها لتحويل المعنى من اللغة المصدر إلى اللغة الهدف وهذا باستخدام الترجمة بأعتبارها مكاني في اللغة العربية. الترجمة المكاني مع المعنى من اللغة الإنجليزية إلى اللغة العربية، كمساعد من الكاتب. كذلك رابس (Reiss) في اللغة العربية، حيث تغيرت بانتاسبها في اللغة العربية من نظرة تهيئة، وعامتها ذلك "يتكلم" = "Speak "، "Aspirin" = "، "Satellite " = "، "Speak"، لغة " = "Satellite"، "Aspirin" = "Democracy".

ومن أبرز ترجمات الترجمة، تعريف نيو مارك (Newmark) الذي يعرف الترجمة بأنها "تحويل معنى النص إلى لغة أخرى بنفس المعنى النص الأصلي." بيذا الترجمة يركز على تحويل المعنى من النص الأصلي (المصدر) إلى النص الهدف كما يقصده الكاتب. كذلك رابس (Reiss) في اللغة العربية، حيث تغيرت بانتاسبها في اللغة العربية من نظرة تهيئة، وعامتها ذلك "يتكلم" = "Speak "، "Aspirin" = "، "Satellite " = "، "Speak"، لغة " = "Satellite"، "Aspirin" = "Democracy".

أما حامد ومانداي (Hatim and Munday) فقد عرف الترجمة بأنها عملية تحويل نص مكتوب من اللغة المصدر إلى اللغة الهدف. حين أدرك على أن الترجمة تكون عملية، و لم يعبر على شيء المترجم هك هو المعنى أم الرسالة.

من جهة أخرى يرى كل من نايدا و تابر (Nida and Tabber) أن الترجمة هي إعادة إنتاج المعنى الأقرب للغة الأصل (المصدر) في اللغة الهدف (المستقبل) فهذا الترجمة هو الأقرب والأوضح كما يرى كل من نايدا و تابر

التكافؤ.

3.1.1 أساليب الترجمة

أما عن أساليب الترجمة فهناك أكثر من مهنيين أو ثلاثة، فإنفسة لنيومارك (Newmark) فيرى أن نماح الترجمة تتطلق بالخصوص كملا، أما أساليب الترجمة فهي تتعلق بالجمل، إذ يوجد عدة مناهج للترجمة وترجمة الدياللية واحدة منها.

3.1.1.1 الترجمة الدياللية

تعم الترجمة الدياللية إنتاج المعنى السياقي الدقيق للنص الأصلي في اللغة الهدف، مع احترام التركيب القواعدي للنص الهدف، مع التركيز على الناحية الجمالية للغة المصدر.
نجد في الترجمة الحرفيّة كل الكلمات تحتوي على معنى حرفي و كل المعاني الأخرى هي مجرد معاني مشتقة و تصويرية. على سبيل المثال، عندما نقول فأر نجد أنه حيوان قارض و معناه المشتق هو فأر الحاسب، كذلك كلمة bed فمعناها الأصلي هو أثاث (فرش) معناها المشتق هو باطن.He و معناها الحرفيّ هو a river bed). لكن المعاني الحرفيّة ليست دائما سهلة الاستخراج، فقد مثلا المعنى الفيزيائي لكلمة bed هو المكان بمعنى موقف جاث، أو كذلك نجد معنىすこと بالتحليل النفسي مثل couched position وجهة نظر السوفيتيات للوحدة الألمانية. أو a Soviet position on German unity منصب إجتماعي مثل هكذا أن منصبه كخطيب. أي منهما المعنى الحربي. نستطيع أن ننسب المعنى الحربي للفيزيائي لكن لسنا متاكدين كما في الأمثلة السابقة. كـ فارة و سرير.

هناك مشكل آخر هو الهجاء بين المعنى الحرفي و المعنى المشتق و وما يكون؟ فمثلا كلمة knock المرأة

باختصار يمكن القول أن لا توجد طريقة واضحة للتعرف على المعنى الحرفي للكلمات المتعددة المعنى في سياقات مختلفة، كذلك لا نستطيع جزم التفرقة بين المعنى الحربي و المعنى المشتق مما بدت الجملة واضحة.

الترجمة التواصلية

في الحقيقة لا يستطيع أحد إنكار الدور الهام للترجمة في عملية التواصل، حيث تساعد الترجمة في عملية التواصل بين الأشخاص ذوي لغات مختلفة. فمنذ ذلك الحين إلى الآن تبقى الترجمة وسيلة لل التواصل بين الناس الذين لا يتكلمون نفس اللغة، لذلك تعتبر الترجمة حلاً لكل مشاكل التواصل، كما أن للترجمة دوراً هاماً في اثناء الثقافات بين مختلف المجتمعات بلغات مختلفة، إذ تعبر في يومنا هذا شيء أساسي في بعض الدراسات الأكاديمية ل تقسيم الفجوات بين مختلف اللغات خاصة من الناحية الثقافية منها، وقد عبر كل من حاتم و مايبدوون (Hatim & Mason) عن ذلك بقولهما أنها عملية تواصلية تكون في سياق المجتمع.

السياق

1.4.1. مفهوم السياق

لقد عرف عدة علماء السياق كل حسب وجهة نظره و على حسب ميدانه كذلك، و ذلك لدعم أفكاره و نظرياته. فنجد ويدنوسون ركز في دراسته على المعنى اللغوي فعرف السياق على أنه هو تلك الجوانب التي تمثل الشرط الحقيقي في استخدام اللغة و الذي يكون له صلة بالمعنى.
أما كاي كوك، أخذ السياق بين الاعتبار حين درس العلاقة بين الأدب و الخطاب، فعرف السياق على أنه شكل من أشكال إدراك المفردات. حيث يرى أنه يمكن استعمال السياق بمعنى حسي وساع وضيق، فمن الناحية الضيقة نجد أن السياق يرمز للعوامل خارج النص التي هي في البحث. أما من الناحية الأوسع فيشير إلى إدراك كل تلك العوامل و إدراك كل الأجزاء الأخرى للنص قيد البحث.

2.4.1 أنواع السياق

يُفهم السياق من عدة نواحي، بحيث يكون إما: سياق لغوي، أو سياقات ظرفي، أو سياقات ثقافية.

1.2.4.1 السياق اللغوي

و هي تشير للسياق في الحديث وللكلمات ومفردات الجمل، و حتى الفقرات. فمثلا إذا أخذنا الكلمة "bachelor"، لا نستطيع فهم المقصود بها إلا إذا ركزنا على السياق اللغوي للجملة التي ترد فيه كـ "He is bachelor".

2.2.4.1 السياق الظرفي

دائما ما نجد هذا النوع من السياق يشير إلى الميزات أو الخصوصيات المتعلقة بالظرف أين يكون للنص معنى، خصائص الظرف تكون متعلقة بالحياتي أو المكان أو الزمان.. الخ، والمكان الذي يصدر فيه الخطاب والعلاقة بين المشاركين فيه.

3.2.4.1 السياق الثقافي

أما هذا النوع من السياق فيرتبط بالتاريخ، الثقافة، العادات وقيم الكلام في المجتمع، فهو يساعد في عملية فهم المشاركين في الحديث و مثال ذلك عند ما نقول " في أمريكا و الصين نجد اختلافًا كبيرًا في الفهم، فالصينيون يجدونها خيرة حسًا لأن معظم الأمريكيين يعانون من الوزن الزائد، في حين يفهمها الصينيون على أنها تحذير ونصيحة على وجب زيارة الطبيب.

الفصل الثاني تحليل المعطيات

يسعى هذا البحث إلى التحقق من الفرضية التالية هل ينتج طلبة السنة التانية أٌ دٌ لغة إنجليزية بجامعة قاصدي مربح ووقت قليلًا في ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى عندما يضعون السياق اللغوي في حساباتهم أم لا، نقدم أيضًا في هذا الفصل شرحًا عن أدوات البحث المستخدمة، كالعينة المختارة وأفرادها، ويتبع بتحليل للمعطيات المتحصل عليها من الاختبار والاستطلاع، وفي الأخير نعرض ملخص عن النتائج المستقاة من البحث.
1. II

العينة (مجتمع البحث)

أفراد العينة المنتظرة في هذا البحث هم 20 طالباً من السنة الثانية كلية اللغة الإنجليزية بجامعة

قاسمي مرباح ورفقة، حيث قمنا باختيارهم عشوائياً، ويعود سبب اختيارنا لهذه العينة لأن الدروس في مقياس الترجمة تتعلق في مستوى السنة الثانية، مما يعني أنهم مبتدئون لذلك يمارسون الترجمة على مستوى الكلمات والجمل على الأكتر، لذلك تعتبر عينة مثالية لدراسة كيفية تعاملهم مع الكلمات المفتاحية التي لم يفهموها.

2. II

أدوات البحث

استعمل في هذا البحث اختبار بالإضافة إلى استطلاع للتحقق من الفرضية المطروحة، حيث قدمت للطلبة جمل قصد ترجمتها من الإنجليزية إلى العربية في الاختبار، ثم طلب منهم الإجابة عن الأسئلة المطروحة في الاستطلاع.

3. II

الاختبار

1.3. II

وصف الاختبار

يتكون الاختبار من 06 عينات، كل عينة تحتوي على 03 جمل بها كلمة متعددة المعنى مستعملة في 03 سياقات مختلفة وتختلف في المعنى. تعتبر الكلمات المتعددة في المعنى والمقترحة في هذا الاختبار مألفة ومعروفة لدى الطلبة. وقد تم اختيارها بناءة من قاموس كامبريدج للمتعلمين المتقدمين الطبعة الثالثة، وقاموس أوكسفورد 2010 الطبعة الثامنة. الكلمات المقترحة هي: 

involve, break, take, make, sound and run

4. II

التحليل

1.4. II

المجموعة الأولى

في المجموعة الأولى، طلب من أفراد المجتمع ترجمة ثلاث جمل انجليزية تحتوي على كلمة

involve متعددة المعنى وهي:

1. His friendship with the accused involved him into the scandal.
2. The job offered involves my living in Ouargla.
3. The matter involves my honour.

مكانتها في اللغة العربية هي:

1. ورطته صداقه بالمتهم في الفضيحة.
2. تتطلب الوظيفة المعروضة علي أن أعيش في ورقة.
3. تتعلق المسألة بشرفي.

استعملت كلمة involve في 03 جمل مختلفة حيث وردت:
2.4.II

The boy broke the window.

This medicine will break you of smoking.

The crowd broke when the match had ended.

Makafonatay in the Arabic language is:
1. The boy broke the window.
2. This medicine will break you of smoking.
3. The crowd broke when the match had ended.

In the third group, the subject of the sentence is break and is used in three different sentences, where it was written:

1. We found that all the seats were taken.
2. She took the 10.30 flight to England.
3. I hope you are all taking notes.
مكانياتها في اللغة العربية هي:
1. وجدنا كل المقاعد محجوزة.
2. استقلت رحلة 10.30 الى إنكلترا.
3. أمل أنكم كلكم تدوون ملاحظاتكم.

استعملت كلمة *fake* في ثلاث جمل مختلفة، حيث وردت:
- Bمعنى محجوزة في الجملة الأولى، حيث ترجمها بدقة 16 طالباً، و 04 طالبة لم تكن تترجمهم صحيحة.
- Bمعنى استقلت في الجملة الثانية، وتمكن من ترجمتها بدقة 08 طالبة فقط، وأخطأ في ترجمة هذه الجملة 09 طالبة، في حين امتنع عن الإجابة 03 طالبة.
- Bمعنى يُدوز في الجملة الثالثة، ترجمات 08 طالبة فقط كانت صحيحة، وأخطأ في الترجمة 16 طالباً.

المجموعة الرابعة 4.4.4.

في المجموعة الرابعة، طلب من أفراد المجتمع ترجمة ثلاث جمل انجليزية تحتوي على كلمة *make* متعددة المعنى وهي

1. Lionel Messi makes 40 millions of dollars a year!
2. Lucy makes lunch for Francis.
3. She made it to the airport just in time to catch her plane.

مكانياتها في اللغة العربية هي:
1. يتحصل ليونيل ميسي على 40 مليون دولار سنوياً.
2. تحضر لوسي الغداء لفرانسيس.
3. وصلت الى المطار في الوقت المحدد للحاق بطارنتها.

استعملت كلمة *make* في ثلاث جمل مختلفة، حيث وردت:
- Bمعنى يتحصل في الجملة الأولى، 16 طالباً ترجموا الجملة بدقة، ولم يتم ترجمتها 04 طالبة.
- Bمعنى يُحضر في الجملة الثانية. ترجم الجملة بدقة 14 طالباً، وطالبين لم يوفقوا في محاولتهم، في حين لم يتم بالترجمة 04 طالبة.
- Bمعنى وصلت في الجملة الثالثة، ترجمات 08 طالبة كانت صحيحة، و 05 طالبة أخطأوا في الترجمة.

في حين لم يتم 07 طالبة بأي محاولة لترجمة الجملة.

المجموعة الخامسة 5.4.4.

في المجموعة الخامسة، طلب من أفراد المجتمع ترجمة ثلاث جمل انجليزية تحتوي على كلمة *sound* متعددة المعنى وهي
1. Can you hear that sound?
2. Thank you for your sound advice.
3. Children sleep a sound sleep.

I can run a mile in five minutes.
2. Do you know how to run this machinery?
3. He was arrested for running drugs across the border into Algeria.
5.2. الاستطلاع

يتكون الاستطلاع من 11 سؤالاً، هذه الأسئلة مقسمة إلى ثلاث أقسام، خمسة أسئلة في القسم الأول متعلقة بالترجمة عموماً، وأربعة منها في القسم الثاني متعلقة بالكلمات ذات المعنى المتعدد، وسؤالين في القسم الأخير متعلقة بالاختبار و الأسئلة الواردة فيه.

2.5. II

التحليل

السؤال الأول: هل تحب الترجمة؟

نعم لا

الهدف من هذا السؤال هو تبيان مدى حب الطلبة للترجمة، لأنه إذا أحب الطلبة الموضوع المطروح والاستطلاع فسيجيبون على الأسئلة بجدية.

توضح النتائج المحصل عليها أن جميع أفراد المجتمع (العينة المختارة) يحبون الترجمة.

السؤال الثاني: كيف تقيم مستوىك في الترجمة؟

ممتاز متوسط ضعيف

ميزة هذا السؤال أنه يحدد الربط بين مستوى الطلبة وإجاباتهم على أسئلة الاختبار. حسب النتائج المستقبليات، فإن 50% صرحوا بأن مستوىهم متوسط في الترجمة، و 40% مستوىهم جيد، و 5% مستوى جيد، كذلك 5% ذو مستوى ممتاز في الترجمة.

السؤال الثالث: أي اتجاه للترجمة تراه أصعب؟

من العربية إلى الإنجليزية من الإنجلزية إلى العربية

يرى 75% من الطلبة أن الترجمة من العربية إلى الإنجليزية، في حين 25% من الطلبة يجدون صعوبة في الترجمة من الإنجليزية إلى العربية.

الطلبة الذين يجدون صعوبة في الترجمة من العربية إلى الإنجليزية ربما يعود ذلك إلى نقص في المركادات الإنجليزية، باعتبار الإنجليزية لغة أجنبية. في حين يجد الطلبة صعوبة في الترجمة من الإنجليزية إلى العربية بسبب المشاكل التي تواجههم في تعلم الإنجليزية.

السؤال الرابع: أي تجد الصعوبات في الترجمة؟

الألفاظ المعجمية الأطرفة
السؤال الخامس: أيهما أهم بالنسبة لك، فهم الكلمات كل على حدة، أو فهم معانيها حسب السياق؟
70% من الطلبة يعتمدون على الجملة و سياقها لفهم الكلمات، في حين 20% يعتمدون على الكلمات بحد ذاتها لفهم الجمل. و10% لم يقدموا إجابات.

السؤال السادس: هل تعرف معنى polysemous words ؟

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>نعم</th>
<th>لا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

80% أجابوا ب لا ، و20% أجابوا بنعم.

السؤال السابع: إذا كانت إجابتك نعم، فما هي؟

كلمات لها نفس المعنى

كلمات لها نفس التهجة و متعددة المعني

كلمات لها نفس التهجة و النطق، ومختلفة في المعنى

أناسكلت تمت الإجابة عنه من طرف 04 طلبة، ممن أجابوا بنعم في السؤال السابق.

03 طلبة قالوا بأن polysemous words هي كلمات لها نفس التهجة و النطق، ومختلفة في المعنى. في حين طالب واحد أجاب ب كلمات لها نفس التهجة و متعددة المعني، وهي الإجابة الصحيحة.

السؤال الثامن: هل تجد صعوبة في ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى؟

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>نعم</th>
<th>لا</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

60% من الطلبة يجدون صعوبات في ترجمة الكلمات المتعددة المعنى، و 10% لا يجدون صعوبات.

تذكر، في حين 30% لم يجيبوا على هذا السؤال.

السؤال التاسع: إذا كانت إجابتك نعم، فلماذا؟

أجاب على هذا السؤال وقدم تبريرات 07 طلبة فقط، منهم من قال بأنه لا يعرف معنى كلمات متعددة المعنى polysemous words أصلا، أيضا هناك من قال بأن ال
ويصعب التكون بمعناه الدقيق، في حين قال طلبة بأن فهم الكلمات المتعددة المعنى يتطلب فهم السياق
الذي ترد فيه.

السؤال العاشر: هل وجدت صعوبات في ترجمة جمل الاختبار؟

لا

50% من الطلبة أجابوا بنعم، و 30% أجابوا بلا، في حين 20% لم يجيبوا على السؤال.

السؤال الحادي عشر: إذا كانت إجابتك بنعم، فأي جملة وجدت صعوبة في ترجمتها؟

هذا السؤال موجه لـ 10 طلبة فقط، الذين أجابوا بنعم في السؤال السابق. لكم طلاب فقط من قدم تبريرات، أحدهما قال بأنه(ها) وجد(ت) صعوبات في ترجمة الأفعال المركبة، والآخر قال(ت) بأنه(ها) وجد(ت) صعوبات في ترجمة الأزمنة. لكن موضوعنا كان متعلقاً بالكلمات المتعددة المعنى.

6. II

ملخص النتائج:

بعد تحليل نتائج الاختبار والاستطلاع يمكن القول بأن:

- الأغلبية الساحقة لطلبة السنة الثانية ألم دي لغة إنجليزية يحبون الترجمة.
- يواجه معظم الطلبة مشاكل في الترجمة من العربية إلى الإنجليزية.
- نجح معظم الطلبة في ترجمة جمل الاختبار ترجمة مقولة.
- يجهل أغلبية الطلبة معنى the polysemous words كمصطلح.
- أغلبية الطلبة على دراية بالمعنى الشائع للكلمة المتعددة المعنى، لكنهم أحياناً يجهلون معانيها الإضافية.
- اعتماد بعض الطلبة على الترجمة الحرفية في بعض الأحيان لم يمكنهم من الترجمة الصحيحة.
- يعتبر أغلب الطلبة على السياق الذي ترد فيه الكلمة كاستراتيجية لفهم الكلمات الغامضة عموماً،
و الكلمات المتعددة المعنى خصوصاً.
الخاتمة

يواجه الطلبة صعوبات جمة في مقياس الترجمة، صعوبات تؤدي بالملقبة إلى إيقاف عملية الترجمة بعثة التأكيد من المصطلحات، واستعمال القياس، أو طلبة المساعدة. هذا الصعوبات قد تكون في مجال القياس، المصطلحات والأسلوب.

يهدف هذا البحث إلى استكشاف الأخطاء المرتكركة من قبل طلبة السنة الثانية أل أم دي لغة إنجليزية بجامعة قدسي مرفأ ورقة، في ترجمتهم للكلمات العامرة عموما و الكلمات ذات الاعد في المعنى خصوصا. الاهتمام كان منصبا على المشاكل المعجمية في هذه الدراسة، والمشاكل على مستوى الكلمة، وعلى الكلمات ذات الاعد في المعنى. الفرضية المطرحة في هذه الدراسة هي أن السياق يساعد الطلبة في فهم وترجمة الكلمات ذات الاعد في المعنى ترجمة صحيحة، فللمطلبة اختبار واستطاع قصد التحقق من الفرضية. وبعد تحليل المعطيات، يمكن القول بأن أغلب الطلبة نجحوا في ترجمة الكلمات ذات الاعد في المعنى بسبب اعتمادهم على السياق الذي ترد فيه. وهذا ما يثبت الفرضية المطرحة في هذه الدراسة.

في الأخير نقدم توصيات للتعامل الأفضل مع الكلمات العامرة، والكلمات ذات الاعد في المعنى:

- يجب على طلبة السنة الثانية أل أم دي لغة إنجليزية تعلم القياسات قدر الإمكان، لأن نقص القياسات يشكل صعوبات بالغة للطلبة في عملية الترجمة.
- لابد لطلبة السنة الثانية أل أم دي لغة إنجليزية أن يضعوا في حسابهم بأن الكلمات في اللغة الإنجليزية قد تكون لها معاني أخرى بالإضافة إلى معناها الشائع.
- لابد من معرفة أن السياق يلعب دورا هاما في فهم معنى الجمل والكلمات.
- يجب على الأسئلة تعليم الطلبة استراتيجيات الترجمة، وعلى الدور الهام الذي يلعبه السياق في فهم معاني الكلمات وترجماتها.
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